

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/781,279 02/13/2001		Nitin Nayak	YOR9-2000-0574US1 9443		
30743 7.	590 01/12/2006	EXAMINER			
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			PATEL, JAGDISH		
	Γ HILLS ROAD		_		
SUITE 340			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA 20190			3624		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/781,27	'9	NAYAK ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		JAGDISH	PATEL	3624	i I			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no evo n. eriod will apply and wi tatute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	4 October 200	5.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
′=								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 5-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				,			
•	Claim(s) <u>3 and 5-8</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or electio	n requirement.					
·								
_	on Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🖾 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/4/04, 12/1/04</u> .	•	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	)-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 10/14/2005.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Species A including claims 1,2 and 4 in the reply filed on 10/14/2005 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 3 and 5-8 have been withdrawn from further consideration.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/781,279 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

4. Claims 1,2 and 4 are rejected because the selecting step recites limitation "selecting

..from the generated plurality (of) sets vendors" which lack proper antecedent basis in the claim.

The matching step only recites "generating a plurality of sets of vendors" as intended result of

the matching step. In other words the claim does not recite that the sets of vendors referred to in

the selecting step are actually generated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 4 corresponds to claim 1, and claim 2 is dependent upon claim 1.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

Claim 2 recites a step of dividing a received request for proposal into a plurality of sub-

requests for proposal. However, this step does not relate to any other steps of claim 1

which are performed regardless of the sub-requests of the proposal.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Art Unit: 3624

7. 1,2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Carpenter in EP 1054333A2 (Published November 22 2000) (hereafter referred to as Carpenter).

As per claims 1, 4 Carpenter teaches a method for the formation of dynamic alliances between vendors with complementary capabilities to jointly pursue specific market opportunities comprising the steps of:

receiving a request for proposal from a customer; (see RFP para [0011]) translating the request for proposal into demanded capabilities;

(see sub-contractor's role described at para [0013] and selection of appropriate subcontractors by the primary contractor)

matching demanded capabilities with registered vendor capabilities to generate a plurality of sets of vendors which meet the demanded capabilities; selecting one or more coalition alternatives from the generated plurality sets of vendors; and

(a step performed when a primary contractor primary/subcontractor negotiations for the goods or services as described in para [0013], further, as contemplated by Carpenter, a vendor may also form a strategic business alliance with other vendor to respond to the RFP, see [0014])

selecting a preferred coalition from the coalition alterative to respond to the request for proposal;

(see para [0013], this step is performed by a primary contractor by selecting one or more sub-contractors or alternatively selecting a coalition based upon negation with the subcontractors)

Art Unit: 3624

2. The method for formation of dynamic alliances between vendors recited in claim 1, farther comprising the step of dividing a received request for proposal into a plurality of sub-requests for proposal.

(See para [0013) sub-contracting which inherently requires dividing the proposal into a plurality of sub-requests).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/781,279

Art Unit: 3624

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

1/9/05